

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/964,694	09/28/2001	Hiroyuki Kinugawa	Q65888	2938
75	90 07/28/2003			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC Suite 800 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			HEITBRINK, TIMOTHY W	
Washington, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1722 DATE MAILED: 07/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		m/2 ~	_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commons	09/964,694	KINUGAWA ET AL.	_
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tim Heitbrink	1722	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status .			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	_ -		
, <u> </u>	s action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under Interpolation of Claims 			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	in nom consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•	·	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f)	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	·		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
		·	

Application/Control Number: 09/964,694

Art Unit: 1722

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitations found in claims 9 and 10 are not described in the specification.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: amendment to line 6 of the paragraph bridging pages 6 and 7 having the phrase "(not shown)" should be deleted.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not seen how the recesses are formed only for the purpose of increasing friction when they also provide shape to the article as well.

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Application/Control Number: 09/964,694

Art Unit: 1722

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent 9-225975 in view of German Patent 69416356 or Buzzell et al.

Japanese Patent '975 discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, '975 does not disclose convex portions whereby the ejector pins eject the molded article at a point not contacting the convex portions.

References to '356 and Buzzell et al. teach ejection of a molded article having convex portions where the ejector pins do not contact the convex portions. The convex portions forming seals to hold the article in place opposite the ejector pins.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to shape the article to have convex portions and to position the ejection pins so as not to touch the convex portions in the apparatus of '975 as suggested by '356 and Buzzell et al.

The limitations of claims 11 and 12 are noted but are not considered part of the apparatus only part of the product.

Applicant's arguments filed May 21, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the reference to Buzzell does not relate to a seal member. The injection of a seal member can be found in the reference to '975.

However, the seal of '975 does not disclose a convex portion to hold it in place so as to remain opposite the ejector pins. The products formed by Buzzell and the German

Application/Control Number: 09/964,694

Art Unit: 1722

reference '356 disclose products having convex portions used to hold the product in place so as to maintain the position of the article opposite the ejector pins so as to allow for the ejection of the formed article. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide convex portions on the moving mold of '975 in order to maintain the product opposite the ejector pins as suggested by either '356 or Buzzell. The limitation of increasing friction between seal member and the moving side inherently comes about by way of the convex portion rubbing against the moving mold. The limitation that the concave portions only purpose is to increase friction is incorrect. The concave portions also provide shape to the article as well.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1722

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tim Heitbrink whose telephone number is 703-308-3789. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 5:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Tim Heitbrink
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1722

7-24-03

twh July 24, 2003